Australian Public Service

Employee Census **2022** 9 May – 10 June



Highlights Report **PSR**



CONTENT	
	Page
Exploring your results	2
Employee Engagement: Say, Stay, Strive	3
Leadership	4
Communication and Change	6
Workplace Conditions	7
Inclusion	9
Enabling Innovation	10
Wellbeing Policies and Support	11
Wellbeing	12
Performance	14
Retention	16
Unacceptable Behaviour	17
Demographics	20
Agency Position	22
Suggested Questions to Focus On	24
Time to Take Action	25
Guide to this Report	26

RESPONSES:	
22 of 29	

RESPONSE RATE:
76%



EXPLORING YOUR RESULTS



Take time to understand your report. Consider your response rate to determine how representative your results are of the views of your colleagues.



Most questions in this report have information about the proportion of colleagues responding positively, neutrally or negatively.



Identify the areas where you are performing well. These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. Celebrate these results.



Identify areas that need improvement. These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators.



Generally a difference of -/+ 5 percentage points is worthy of attention, but the size of the group is important. Changes in small groups can be unreliable.

Australian Government

Australian Public Service Commission

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: SAY, STAY, STRIVE



HOW ENGAGED IS YOUR TEAM?

EMPLOYEE
ENGAGEMENT IS MORE
THAN SIMPLY JOB
SATISFACTION OR
COMMITMENT TO AN
ORGANISATION. IT IS
THE EXTENT TO
WHICH EMPLOYEES
ARE MOTIVATED,
INSPIRED AND
ENABLED TO IMPROVE
AN ORGANISATION'S
OUTCOMES.

0	YOUR EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT INDEX SCORE	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
	INDEX SCORE			-2	+11 🐼	+9	+9�
	Overall, I am satisfied with my job	95	95%	-5♥	+210	+20 🕢	+21
SAY	I am proud to work in my agency	95	95%	-5♥	+20 ♦	+16 🕢	+17 🟠
/ S	I would recommend my agency as a good place to work	82 14	82%	-18♥	+13 🚳	+9 春	+15 🟠
	I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of my agency	100	100%	0	+16 ♦	+11 🐼	+11 🚱
STAY	I feel a strong personal attachment to my agency	77 14 9	77 %	-16 ♡	+17 🐼	+14 🟠	+17 🟠
ST	I feel committed to my agency's goals	95	95%	-5♥	+13 ♠	+9 🚱	+10 🚱
	I suggest ideas to improve our way of doing things	100	100%	+6 🟠	+13 🚱	+12 🟠	+8 🏠
STRIVE	I am happy to go the 'extra mile' at work when required	95	95%	-5♥	+4	+4	+2
STR	I work beyond what is required in my job to help my agency achieve its objectives	82 14	82%	-12 ♡	+1	+1	-1
	My agency really inspires me to do my best work every day	86 14	86%	-1	+29 	+25 ♠	+27 ♠

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative




2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 03.

LEADERSHIP - IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

THE IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR SCORE ASSESSES HOW EMPLOYEES VIEW THE LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOURS OF THEIR IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR IN LINE WITH THE APS LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK.



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 04.



LEADERSHIP - SES MANAGER



SES MANAGER

THE SES MANAGER SCORE ASSESSES HOW EMPLOYEES VIEW THE LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOURS OF THEIR IMMEDIATE SES MANAGER IN LINE WITH THE APS LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK.

-	YOUR SES MANAGER LEADERSHIP INDEX SCORE	RESPONSE SCALE		% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL +13 ••	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
	My SES manager clearly articulates the direction	77 14	9	77 %	+13 🚱	+90	+7 Q	+11 🚱
	and priorities for our area	77		/ / %	+13 •	+90	+/ U	*II V
	My SES manager presents convincing arguments and persuades others towards an outcome	95		95 %	+13 🐼	+330	+29 🕢	+26 🔷
Manager	My SES manager promotes cooperation within and between agencies	86	14	86%	+16 春	+19 🏠	+16 🔷	+14 🕥
SES M	My SES manager encourages innovation and creativity	82	18	82%	+11 🚱	+16 �	+14 🕢	+13 🕥
	My SES manager creates an environment that enables us to deliver our best	95		95%	+13 🕥	+31	+29 🟠	+27 🏠
	My SES manager ensures that work effort contributes to the strategic direction of the agency and the APS	82 1	4	82%	-1	+80	+4	+5 🟠
	Other similar questions							
	In my agency, the SES work as a team	95		95%	+14 🚳	+42 0	+43 春	+39 🏠
All SES	In my agency, the SES clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our agency	91		91%	+10 🐼	+28♠	+26 ♠	+31
	In my agency, communication between SES and other employees is effective	86	9	86%	+13 🕥	+33 🏠	+32 🟠	+32
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS COMPARATOR	LESS T	'HAN		Positive Ne	utral Negative	

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 05.

COMMUNICATION AND CHANGE



COMMUNICATION


THE
COMMUNICATION
SCORE MEASURES
COMMUNICATION
AT THE INDIVIDUAL,
GROUP AND
AGENCY LEVEL.

9	YOUR COMMUNICATION INDEX SCORE	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
				+6 春	+11 🔂	+10 쥯	+11 春
tion	My supervisor communicates effectively	91 9	91%	+3	+10 🔷	+9 🟠	+11 🕢
Communication	My SES manager communicates effectively	82 9 9	82%	+5♠	+12 💿	+10 🟠	+11 🚱
Соп	Internal communication within my agency is effective	82 9 9	82%	+19 🏠	+240	+21	+25 🕜

CHANGE

EFFECTIVE
COMMUNICATION IS
AN IMPORTANT
PART OF ANY
CHANGE PROCESS.
NOTE THESE
QUESTIONS DO NOT
CONTRIBUTE TO
THE ABOVE INDEX
SCORE.

Other similar questions



KEY

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 06.

WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

	RESPONSE SCALE	i	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
My job gives me opportunities to utilise my skills	91	1	91%	-3	+12 🐼	+8•	+7 •
I have a choice in deciding how I do my work	77	23	77 %	-4	+13 🚱	+7 	+3
Where appropriate, I am able to take part in decisions that affect my job	95		95%	+1	+26 ♦	+23♠	+18 🚱
I am clear what my duties and responsibilities are	86	14	86%	+5♠	+6 ♦	+5♠	+8•
I am satisfied with the recognition I receive for doing a good job	82	9 9	82%	+1	+15 ♠	+12 🕢	+11 🐼
I am fairly remunerated (e.g. salary, superannuation) for the work that I do	73	18 9	73 %	-10 👁	+12 🗗	+11 🚱	+6 ☆
I am satisfied with my non-monetary employment conditions (e.g. leave, flexible work arrangements, other benefits)	86	14	86%	-14 👁	+10 🚳	+5♠	+8•
I am satisfied with the stability and security of my job	100		100%	+6	+19 🚱	+17 🕢	+19 🚱
I am confident that if I requested a flexible work arrangement, my request would be given reasonable consideration	82	9 9	82%	-12 ©	+4	-3	0

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 07.

WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
I feel a strong personal attachment to the APS	64 27 9	64 %	+7 •	+1	+2	+11
I understand how my role contributes to achieving an outcome for the Australian public	100	100%	0	+80	+80	+8♠
I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of the APS	86 9	86%	-80	+1	0	+3
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
What best describes your current workload?						
Well above capacity - too much work		14%	-10 👁	-9 0	-13 O	-12 🗸
Slightly above capacity - lots of work to do		59 %	+60	+19 ♦	+19 🟠	+16 🐼
At capacity - about the right amount of work to do		27 %	+10 🐼	-2	+1	+1
Slightly below capacity – available for more work		0%	-6♥	-6♥	-5 ♥	-4
Well below capacity - not enough work		0%	0	-1	-1	-1

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 08.



INCLUSION AND FLEXIBLE WORKING

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture	86 9	86%	-14 👁	+86	+7 	+12 🚱
My supervisor actively ensures that everyone can be included in workplace activities	82 14	82%	-	-2	-2	-2
I receive the respect I deserve from my colleagues at work	73 23	73 %	-21 ♥	-9 0	-10 👁	-8♥
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
Do you currently access any of the following flexible working arrangements? [Multiple Response]						
Part time		5 %	-9 0	-9 0	-10 👁	-11 👁
Flexible hours of work		33 %	0	+7 	+5 ♦	+6♠
Compressed work week		0%	0	-3	-4	-2
Job sharing		0%	0	0	0	0
Working away from the office/working from home		52 %	+19 🐼	-2	-23 O	-16 🔮
None of the above		29%	-18 O	+2	+17 💿	+11 🟠
	EAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN PARATOR		Posit	ive Neutral Ne	gative	

2022 APS Employee Census

PAGE 09.

Australian Grown Public Publi



ENABLING INNOVATION



ENABLING INNOVATION

THE INNOVATION SCORE ASSESSES BOTH WHETHER EMPLOYEES FEEL WILLING AND ABLE TO BE INNOVATIVE, AND WHETHER THEIR AGENCY HAS A CULTURE WHICH ENABLES THEM TO BE SO.

\bigcirc	YOUR ENABLING INNOVATION INDEX	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE		VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE			-6♥	+11 🔂	+10 🔂	+10 🔂
	I believe that one of my responsibilities is to continually look for new ways to improve the way we work	86	86%	-2	+4	+3	+1
innovation	My immediate supervisor encourages me to come up with new or better ways of doing things	73 23	73 %	-15 👁	-1	-2	-5 O
	People are recognised for coming up with new and innovative ways of working	77 14	9 77%	-16 👁	+17 🕢	+17 🟠	+21
Enabling	My agency inspires me to come up with new or better ways of doing things	77 18	77%	-23 ©	+25 ♠	+24 🏠	+22 🟠
	My agency recognises and supports the notion that failure is a part of innovation	64 32	64%	-10 👁	+240	+24 🏠	+25 🏠


KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 10.

WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT



WELLBEING

THE WELLBEING SCORE PROVIDES A MEASURE OF THE PRACTICAL AND CULTURAL ELEMENTS THAT ALLOW FOR A SUSTAINABLE AND HEALTHY WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

æ	YOUR WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT INDEX SCORE	RESPONSE SC	ALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021 +2	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL +9 •	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES +6 •	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES +7 •
oort	I am satisfied with the policies/practices in place to help me manage my health and wellbeing	73	18 9	73 %	-10 👁	+80	+6 🏠	+7 🕥
and support	My agency does a good job of communicating what it can offer me in terms of health and wellbeing	64	27 9	64%	-1	0	-6♥	+1
policies a	My agency does a good job of promoting health and wellbeing	73	18 9	73 %	+80	+9 ⊙	+5 🕎	+11 🕥
Wellbeing p	I think my agency cares about my health and wellbeing	86	9	86%	+4	+25♠	+19 🟠	+17 🕥
We	I believe my immediate supervisor cares about my health and wellbeing	91		91%	-3	+5 	+3	+3


KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 11.

WELLBEING

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
How often do you find your work stressful?						
Always		0%	-6♥	-5♥	-5♥	-4
Often		18%	+1	-8♥	-8♥	-6♥
Sometimes		50%	-3	0	0	+1
Rarely		32 %	+20 0	+14 🚱	+14 🚱	+12 🕥
Never		0%	-12 🗸	-2	-2	-2
To what extent is your work emotionally demanding?						
To a very large extent		5%	+50	-3	-3	-1
To a large extent		5%	-1	-16 ♥	-14 O	-14 O
Somewhat		45%	-7♥	+6�	+7♠	+8 🏠
To a small extent		27 %	+10 🐼	+4	+3	+2
To a very small extent		18%	-5♥	+9 0	+80	+6

KEY



0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





WELLBEING

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
I feel burned out by my work						
Strongly agree		0%	-6 O	-80	-9 0	-80
Agree		27 %	-80	+3	+4	+ 7 ♦
Neither agree nor disagree		23%	+5 ⊘	-9♥	-7♥	-7 O
Disagree		36%	+19 🐼	+7 6	+6 🚱	+3
Strongly disagree		14%	-10 👁	+7 	+5 ☆	+5 0
In general, would you say that your health is:						
Excellent		14%	-4	+3	+3	+2
Very good		50%	+3	+16 🐼	+13 🚳	+11 🐼
Good		27 %	+4	-10 O	-8♥	-6♥
Fair		5 %	-7 ⊙	-10 O	-9 ♥	-8 🔮
Poor		5 %	+50	+1	+1	+2

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Australian Government

Australian Public Service Commission

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 13.

PERFORMANCE

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
In the last month, please rate your workgroup's overall performance						
Excellent		45%	+22 	+18 🏠	+17 🐼	+15 🕥
Very good		50%	-21 O	-5 O	-6 O	-2
Average		5%	-1	-10 👁	-9 0	-10 👁
Below average		0%	0	-2	-2	-2
Well below average		0%	0	-1	-1	0
In the last month, please rate your agency's success in meeting its goals and objectives						
Excellent		59%	+24 🚱	+430	+410	+380
Very good		36 %	-22 O	-18 🗸	-20 O	-13 👁
Average		5%	-1	-19 🗸	- 15 ♥	-20 ♥
Below average		0%	0	-4	-4	-4
Well below average		0%	0	-2	-2	-1

KEY

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





PERFORMANCE

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
My workgroup has the appropriate skills, capabilities and knowledge to perform well	91 9	91%	-3	+11 🐼	+9 0	+6•
My workgroup has the tools and resources we need to perform well	82 18	82%	-6♥	+20 0	+25♠	+20 🖸
The people in my workgroup use time and resources efficiently	91	91%	+3	+13 🚱	+12 🕢	+12 🕢
My workgroup can readily adapt to new priorities and tasks	91 9	91%	+3	+6 ♦	+5 ♠	+5 ☆
The people in my workgroup cooperate to get the job done	95	95%	+9 0	+7 0	+4	+50

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 15.

RETENTION



EMPLOYEES WHO INDICATED THAT THEY WANTED TO LEAVE THEIR CURRENT POSITION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE OR WITHIN THE NEXT 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED WHAT THEIR PLANS WERE.

RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
Which of the following statements best reflects your current thoughts about working in your					

current position?

I want to leave my position as soon as possible	9%	+9 ♦	0	0	-1
I want to leave my position within the next 12 months	18%	+6 	-5♥	-5♥	-7 ♥
I want to stay working in my position for the next one to two years	18%	-26♥	-19 O	-23♥	-24 ©
I want to stay working in my position for at least the next three years	55%	+11 🐼	+24 🛭	+28♠	+32♠

What best describes your plans involved with leaving your current position?

I am planning to retire	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.
I am pursuing another position within my agency	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.
I am pursuing a position in another agency	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.
I am pursuing work outside the APS	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.
It is the end of my non-ongoing, casual or contracted employment	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.
Other	The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



PAGE 16. 2022 APS Employee Census

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO HAD PERCEIVED DISCRIMINATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED WHAT THE BASIS WAS FOR THE DISCRIMINATION. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
TYPES OF
DISCRIMINATION WITH
THE HIGHEST
PROPORTION OF
RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES,
WORK UNITS AND
WITH RESULTS FOR
THE APS OVERALL.

DISCRIMINATION	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
During the last 12 months and in the course of discrimination on the basis of your background						
Yes		14%	+14 🔷	+4	+6�	+6 🏠
No		86%	-14 🛇	-4	-6♥	-6♥
Did this discrimination occur in your current a	gency?					
Yes	The data for this question has been h	idden for anony	mity reasons.			
No	The data for this question has been h	idden for anony	mity reasons.			

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 17.

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO
PERCEIVED
HARASSMENT OR
BULLYING IN THE LAST
12 MONTHS WERE
ASKED WHAT TYPE OF
HARASSMENT OR
BULLYING THEY
EXPERIENCED.
EMPLOYEES COULD
SELECT ONE OR MORE
RESPONSES FROM A
LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
OPTIONS WITH THE
HIGHEST PROPORTION
OF RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES,
WORK UNITS AND
WITH RESULTS FOR
THE APS OVERALL.

HARASSMENT AND BULLYING	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZEI AGENCIES
During the last 12 months, have you been subjected workplace?	d to harassment or bullying in your current					
Yes		9%	+90	-1	+1	+1
No		86%	-7 ♥	+1	-1	0
Not sure		5 %	-2	-1	0	-1
old you report the harassment or bullying?						
I reported the behaviour in accordance with my agency's policies and procedures	The data for this question has been hide	den for anony	mity reasons.			

The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.

The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.

KEY

It was reported by someone else

I did not report the behaviour



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 18.

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO
INDICATED THAT THEY
HAD WITNESSED
POTENTIAL CORRUPT
BEHAVIOUR WERE
ASKED TO DESCRIBE
THE BEHAVIOUR.
EMPLOYEES COULD
SELECT ONE OR MORE
RESPONSES FROM A
LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
TYPES OF CORRUPT
BEHAVIOURS WITH
THE HIGHEST
PROPORTION OF
RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES
AND WITH RESULTS
FOR THE APS
OVERALL.

CORRUPTION	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	FROM APS OVERALL	FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	FROM EXTR SMALL SIZE AGENCIES
	part of your duties, in the last 12 months have you r agency engaging in behaviour that you consider corruption?					
Yes		5 %	+5 ♦	+1	+2	-1
No		95%	+1	+5 ⊘	+3	+9 0
Not sure		0%	-6♥	-4	-3	-4
Would prefer not to answer		0%	0	-2	-2	-4

The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.

The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.

The data for this question has been hidden for anonymity reasons.

KEY

policies and procedures

It was reported by someone else

I did not report the behaviour



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

VARIANCE

VARIANCE

VADIANCE



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 19.

DEMOGRAPHICS

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTR. SMALL SIZE AGENCIES
How do you describe your gender?						
Man or male		36 %	+19 🐼	-1	-2	+60
Woman or female		64%	-13 👁	+5 ♠	+6 ₽	-1
Non-binary		0%	0	0	0	-1
I use a different term		0%	0	0	0	0
Prefer not to say		0%	-6 O	-3	-4	-3
Do you identify as an Australian Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander person?	?					
Yes		0%	0	-4	-1	-1
No		100%	0	+4	+1	+1
Do you have an ongoing disability?						
Yes		14%	+2	+4	+5•	+6
No		86%	-2	-4	-5♥	-6♥

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





DEMOGRAPHICS

					VADIANCE	VADIANCE
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTR SMALL SIZE AGENCIES
o you have carer responsibilities?						
Yes		23%	-1	-19 O	-19 ூ	-17 👁
No		77 %	+1	+19 🟠	+19 🐼	+17 🐼
Oo you identify as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and/or gender diverse, ntersex, Queer, Questioning and/or Asexual (LGBTIQA+)?						
Yes		0%	0	-80	-9♥	-11 👁
No		100%	0	+80	+90	+11 🐼
n which country were you born?						
Australia		86%	-80	+10 🐼	+10 🐼	+7
Other country		14%	+80	-10 👁	-10 👁	-7 0
Oo you speak a language other than English at home?						
No, English only		82%	-1	+2	-1	-3
Yes, other		18%	+1	-2	+1	+3

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN

COMPARATOR

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN

COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 21.

KEY

AGENCY POSITION

Ranking: 2nd of 98




AGENCY POSITION

THESE GRAPHS DISPLAY THE OVERALL INDEX SCORE OF EACH AGENCY FOR THE EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT, LEADERSHIP - IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR, LEADERSHIP - SES MANAGER, COMMUNICATION. **ENABLING INNOVATION** AND WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT INDICES. THESE ARE TO ASSIST YOU TO SEE WHERE YOUR AGENCY SITS IN COMPARISON TO THE OVERALL APS INDEX SCORE AND THE SCORES OF OTHER AGENCIES.


ALONG THE LINE (Y-AXIS) ARE THE INDEX SCORES. THE HEIGHT OF THE BAR (X-AXIS) IS HOW MANY AGENCIES HAVE THAT INDEX SCORE.

PLEASE NOTE, THE Y-AXIS **VALUES ARE NOT** CONSECUTIVE AS ONLY INDEX SCORES RECEIVED BY AN AGENCY ARE REPRESENTED.


Employee Engagement Index



Leadership - Immediate Supervisor Index



Leadership - SES Manager Index





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 22.

AGENCY POSITION




AGENCY POSITION

THESE GRAPHS DISPLAY THE OVERALL INDEX SCORE OF EACH AGENCY FOR THE EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT, LEADERSHIP - IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR, LEADERSHIP - SES MANAGER, COMMUNICATION. **ENABLING INNOVATION** AND WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT INDICES. THESE ARE TO ASSIST YOU TO SEE WHERE YOUR AGENCY SITS IN COMPARISON TO THE OVERALL APS INDEX SCORE AND THE SCORES OF OTHER AGENCIES.


ALONG THE LINE (Y-AXIS) ARE THE INDEX SCORES. THE HEIGHT OF THE BAR (X-AXIS) IS HOW MANY AGENCIES HAVE THAT INDEX SCORE.

PLEASE NOTE, THE Y-AXIS **VALUES ARE NOT** CONSECUTIVE AS ONLY INDEX SCORES RECEIVED BY AN AGENCY ARE REPRESENTED.






Enabling Innovation Index Ranking: 4th of 98



Wellbeing Policies and Support Index



PAGE 23. 2022 APS Employee Census

SUGGESTED QUESTIONS TO FOCUS ON

4	0	
		j
•		4

WHAT TO FOCUS ON?

THROUGH DRIVER ANALYSIS, THESE KEY QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED AS BEING IMPORTANT TO EMPLOYEES IN YOUR AGENCY AND ASSOCIATED WITH EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT.

THEY ARE NOT NECESSARILY THE QUESTIONS WITH THE LOWEST SCORES.

SOME WILL BE AREAS TO IMPROVE UPON AND SOME WILL BE AREAS TO MAINTAIN.

DEVELOP ACTIONS AND ACTIVITIES TO IMPROVE UPON THESE, WHERE POSSIBLE, TO DRIVE HIGHER LEVELS OF PERFORMANCE.

	T 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS R THAN COMPARATOR AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM REGULATORY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM EXTRA SMALL SIZED AGENCIES
.1	My supervisor can deliver difficult advice whilst maintaining relationships	81%	0	+2	+2	+3
.2	My agency inspires me to come up with new or better ways of doing things	77 %	-23 0	+250	+240	+220
.3	To what extent do you agree that your recent performance and development discussions with your supervisor helped improve your performance?	59 %	-	+1	+1	+2
.4	I believe that one of my responsibilities is to continually look for new ways to improve the way we work	86%	-2	+4	+3	+1
.5	My agency does a good job of promoting health and wellbeing	73 %	+80	+90	+5 0	+110
.6	I think my agency cares about my health and wellbeing	86%	+4	+250	+190	+170



TIME TO TAKE ACTION

CELEBRATE
RENGTHS AND LEARN FROM

Q	INVESTIGATE FURTHER WITH OUR TEAMS
	y other opportunities coming out s that we want to explore further?
HOW COULD WE IN	IVESTIGATE? THROUGH LOOKING AT THE DATA IN

MORE DETAIL OR THROUGH DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF?

<u>~</u>	OPPORTUNITIES
Areas we need plans:	to focus on and turn into action
WHAT ARE THE KEY THI HERE BETTER?	INGS WE NEED TO IMPROVE TO MAKE WORKING



USE THIS PAGE TO START YOUR LOCAL ACTION PLANS

IDENTIFY AREAS TO CELEBRATE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND AREAS WHICH YOU NEED TO INVESTIGATE FURTHER.


PRIORITISE 3 AREAS TO TAKE FORWARD

	PRIORITISE 3 AREAS FOR ACTION	TIMESCALES	OWNER	RESOURCES REQUIRED	TARGET/SUCCESS MEASURE
1					
2					
3					

GUIDE TO THIS REPORT

% POSITIVE

WHERE RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS POSITIVE PERCENTAGES (% POSITIVE), THESE ARE CALCULATED BY ADDING TOGETHER POSITIVE RESPONSES ("STRONGLY AGREE" + "AGREE") AND DIVIDING BY THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED THE QUESTION.



ROUNDING

RESULTS ARE PRESENTED AS WHOLE NUMBERS FOR EASE OF READING, WITH ROUNDING PERFORMED AT THE LAST STAGE OF CALCULATION FOR MAXIMUM ACCURACY. VALUES FROM X.00 TO X.49 ARE ROUNDED DOWN AND VALUES FROM X.50 TO X.99 ARE ROUNDED UP. THEREFORE IN SOME INSTANCES, RESULTS MAY NOT TOTAL 100%.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEITHER	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%
NUMBER OF POSITIVE	151 + 166	= 317				
% POSITIVE	317 ÷ 613	5 = 52%				

ANONYMITY

IT IS ENGINE'S PRACTICE NOT TO DISPLAY THE RESULTS OF GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS TO THE EXTENT WHERE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUALS MAY BE COMPROMISED. RESULTS WILL NOT BE SHOWN WHERE THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 RESPONDENTS IN A GROUP.

COMPARISONS WITH RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS

THE METHOD OF ANALYSING AND REPORTING SPECIFIC RESULTS MAY BE PERIODICALLY REVIEWED AND REVISED. SUCH IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPLIED TO CURRENT DATA AND THAT OF PREVIOUS YEARS. FOR THIS REASON THE CURRENT REPORT IS ALWAYS THE MOST ACCURATE DATA SOURCE FOR APS EMPLOYEE CENSUS RESULTS, INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH TIME SERIES DATA.

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 26.

